Panchayat works.

157. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.— Will the Government be pleased to state the names of works in the Panchayat Department which were not executed since lands were not acquired by the Revenue Department?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—No separate statistics to denote such works are maintained.

Mr. T. A Ramachandra Ayyar.—I do not want to know the exact number from any separate register. I simply wanted to know the number of works which were not executed, because lands were not acquired. Is it very difficult to get the number?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—It is not very difficult. But we have to go through all the files to find out. If there had been statistics it would have been readily available.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Is it not the duty of the Heads of Departments to keep themselves informed of the reasons for the lapses of amounts for particular items?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—They need not remember it for all the time.

Destruction of stray dogs.

158. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.— Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there was a request from the Vadakkancheri Panchayat for allowing some amount for the destruction of stray dogs;

(b) whether the same has been allowed and if not why;

(c) what is the number of patients bitten by rabid dogs and treated by the Talappalli Taluk Visha Vaidyasala conducted at Avanampamba Mana in 1106?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) No; because the provisions of the Municipal Regulation relating to destruction of stray dogs have not been introduced.

(c) 11.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—May I know whether the Government has been satisfied as to the necessity for the demands contained in the request?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Government think that it might be somewhat advantageous to have these Municipal Regulations and provisions applied to rural areas as well. But the matter requires some careful inquiry and the question has been referred to the Rural Reconstruction Committee. We cannot deal with

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Vadakkancheri alone. All the towns have to be comprehen sively treated on this point.

Committee—First Grade College.

159. Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) when was the Committee to investigate the question of having a First Grade College in Cochin appointed ;

(2) who were the members of that Committee ;

(3) when did it submit its report ;

(4) did Mr. S. K. Subramania Ayyar submit any dissenting report ;

(5) whether they will be pleased to place a copy of their report on the table ;

(6) whether there was any opposition to the Ramavarmapuram College Scheme at any time before the advent of the present Diwan ;

(7) if the answer to the above is in the affirmative (a) when was it raised ;

(b) before whom was it made;

(c) what was the nature of the opposition;

(d) whether the Government has given any reply to the representations, if any, made to them and if so what it was?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(1) In October 1924.

(2) The Director of Public Instruction—President.

Mr. C. Achyuta Menon, Retired District Magistrate—Member.

Mr. V. R. Venkiteswara Ayyar	do
Mr. S. K. Subramania Ayyar	do
Mr. T. K. Krishna Menon	do
Mr. C. Anthappayi	do

(3) In December 1924.

(4) No.

(5) A copy is placed on the Council Table.

(6) Yes.

(7) (a) In February and August 1929 and in April 1930.

(b) In the Council.

(c) and (d). The Member is referred to the Question No. 272 of the Legislative Session of Kumbham 1104, and the answer to it (page 772 of the printed proceedings, second series, Volume II, Part 10), the discussions on Motion No. 19 of Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar in the budget session of 1104 (pages 2036 to 2052, Volume II, Part 24 of the printed proceedings), and to Question No. 308 of the Medam sitting of 1105 and the discussion that followed (pages 755—764 of the printed proceedings, Volume III, Part II).

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly